## HAMILTON-WENHAM REGIONAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

**MANAGEMENT LETTER** 

JUNE 30, 2018

# Powers & Sullivan, LLC

Certified Public Accountants



100 Quannapowitt Parkway Suite 101 Wakefield, MA 01880 T. 781-914-1700 F. 781-914-1701 www.powersandsullivan.com

To the Honorable School Committee Hamilton-Wenham Regional School District Wenham, Massachusetts 01984

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Hamilton-Wenham Regional School District (District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

However, during our audit we became aware of other matters that we believe represent opportunities for strengthening internal controls and operating efficiency. The memorandum that accompanies this letter summarizes our comments and suggestions concerning those matters.

We will review the status of these comments during our next audit engagement. We have already discussed these comments and suggestions with various District personnel, and will be pleased to discuss them in further detail at your convenience, to perform any additional study of these matters, or to assist you in implementing the recommendations.

The District's written responses to the matters identified in our audit have not been subjected to the audit procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This communication is intended solely for the information and use of management of the District and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

September 24, 2018

Ponsa Alli, uc

## HAMILTON-WENHAM REGIONAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## MANAGEMENT LETTER

## **JUNE 30, 2018**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	PAGE
MATERIAL WEAKNESSES	1
INFORMATIONAL COMMENT	3
Documentation of Internal Controls	4

MATERIAL WEAKNESSES

## **MATERIAL WEAKNESSES**

This report does not identify any matters that we consider to be material weaknesses in internal control.

**INFORMATIONAL COMMENT** 

#### **DOCUMENTATION OF INTERNAL CONTROLS**

#### Comment

In December 2013, the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) issued *Uniform Administrative* Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance) in an effort to (1) streamline guidance for federal awards while easing the administrative burden and (2) to strengthen oversight over the expenditure of federal funds and to reduce the risks of waste, fraud and abuse.

The Uniform Guidance supersedes and streamlines requirements from eight different federal grant circulars (including OMB Circular A-133) into one set of guidance. Local governments were required to implement the new administrative requirements and cost principles for all new federal awards and to additional funding to existing awards made after December 26, 2014 (fiscal year 2016).

In conformance with Uniform Guidance, the non-Federal entity must: (a) Establish and maintain effective internal control over the Federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the non-Federal entity is managing the Federal award in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in "Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government" issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (the Green Book) and the "Internal Control Integrated Framework", issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

Management is responsible for internal controls and to see that the entity is doing what needs to be done to meet its objectives. Governments have limited resources and constraints on how much can be spent on designing, implementing, and conducting systems of internal control. The COSO Framework can help management consider alternative approaches and decide what action it needs to take to meet its objectives. Depending on circumstances, these approaches and decisions can contribute to efficiencies in the design, implementation, and conduct of internal control. With the COSO Framework, management can more successfully diagnose issues and assert effectiveness regarding their internal controls and, for external financial reporting, help avoid material weaknesses or significant deficiencies.

The COSO internal control framework must incorporate the 5 major components of internal control, while addressing the 17 principles of internal control that support the COSO framework. Refer to <a href="https://www.coso.org">www.coso.org</a> for articles describing the 5 components and their 17 principles in detail.

Management should evaluate and assess the government's internal control system to determine whether: each of the five essential elements of a comprehensive framework of internal control is present throughout the organization; whether each element addresses all of the associated principles; and whether all five elements effectively function together.

#### Recommendation

We recommend management follow the best practice for establishing and documenting their internal control system using the COSO Internal Control Framework.